

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,727	LINDFORS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) _____.

 (2) Rabinder N. Narula.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2005
Time: 13:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-43

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Narula to acknowledge the Examiner's oversight of the preliminary amendment filed 2/18/4. As a result, the Examiner's original restriction requirement is moot.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)